

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/830,118	WILLIAMS ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Devin Hanan	3745	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Devin Hanan.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Stephen Catlin.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 March 2006

**Time:** 10 AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*102 rejection*

**Claims discussed:**

*claims 1, 3 and 25*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Thorp (U.S. Patent 2,642,263)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Adding allowable subject matter into independent claims. See examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Devin Hanan*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)